**IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA**

WRIT PETITION NO.433 OF 2002

1. Smt. Alzira Colaco,
   major, housewife,

2. Fr. Raul Colaco,
   major, Priest,

   both r/o Velim,
   Salcete, Goa ... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

1. State of Goa,
   through the Chief Se-
   cretary, having office
   at Secretariat,
   Panaji, Goa;

2. Conservator of Forests,
   State of Goa,
   having office at
   Panaji, Goa;

3. Director of Land Survey,
   State of Goa,
   having office at
   Panaji, Goa;

4. Shri Kantu Daktu Naik,
   of major age, agriculturist;

5. Shri Barkelo Devidas,
   of major age, agriculturist;

   both residents of Quittol,
   Fatorda, Quepem, Goa;

6. Smt. Susan D'Silva,
   of major age, married,
   housewife, and her husband

7. Shri Wellington D'Silva,
   of major age,

   both residents of Velim,
   Salcete, Goa;

8. Smt. Lira Coutinho,
   of major age,

9. Burnley Coutinho,
minor,

10. Aldrin Coutinho,
minor,

11. Venicia Coutinho,
minor,

12. Lalita Coutinho,
minor,

All residents of
Borda, Margao,Goa;

Respondents Nos. 9 to 12
represented by their
legal guardian shown at
Sr.No.8 above;

13. Smt. Joanita Colaco,
of major age,

14. Agnelo Colaco,
major,

15. Smt. Lucia Colaco,
major,

all residents of Carmona,
Salcete, Goa;

16. Smt.Terezinha D'Silva,
major, married, and
her husband

17. Shri Menino D'Silva,
major,

both r/o Orlim,
Salcete,Goa;

18. Smt. Libania Colaco,
major,

19. Kum. Shiera Colaco,
minor,

20. Shri Anthony Colaco,
minor,

All residents of Carmona,
Salcete, Goa.

Respondents Nos.19 to 21
represented by their legal

guardian shown at Sr.No.18 above ... RESPONDENTS

---

Shri D. Pangam, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Shri P.A. Kamat, Addl. Government Advocate for Respondents No.1 to 3.

Shri M.S. Sonak, Advocate for Power of Attorney of Respondents No.6 to 21.

---

**CORAM : S.J. VAZIFDAR,J.**

DATED : APRIL 17, 2003.

ORAL JUDGMENT

By consent the Writ Petition is taken up for final hearing.

2. Rule, returnable forthwith.

3. The evidence of the Plaintiffs has commenced. No prejudice would be caused to the Respondents if the Petitioners are permitted to produce the documents.

4. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the impugned Order is set aside. The Petitioners shall be permitted to furnish the documents. This shall, however, not prejudice the rights of the Respondents to object

to the admissibility of the documents.

The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

**S.J. VAZIFDAR,J.**

ac.